

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	O. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		RNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/765,916 01/18/2001		Frederic Canut		260/087 8270			
23639	7590	11/28/2006			EXAMINER		
BINGHAM, MCCUTCHEN LLP THREE EMBARCADERO CENTER					KANG, INSUN		
18 FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN FRANC	CA 94111-4067			2193			

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/765,916	CANUT ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			

	Insun Kang	2193	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follot places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repliance	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
 a)	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th		er is later. In no
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI		OWT NIHTIW O
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. Itutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expenses a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal d	of the appeal.
	but prior to the date of filing a brio	f will not be entered	hoosuso
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 3. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	it hafara ar on the data of filing a N	lation of Ammonl will m	at ha antorod
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	r man 7 Ci	
13. Other:	CHDER\	PATENT EXAM	MINEP
	SULTIN		٦1°
		(

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant states that the statement, "the programming language is one that is completely portable in page 6 lines 8-9." Pieper specifically discloses that a portion or part of the optimized code is not independent (page 6).

In response, Pieper indeed states that a first set of computer program instructions in a relatively higher level program instruction language is converted by compilation processes (0018, page 2; 0009, page 1) and Pieper also specifically states that during the compilation process, "the prefetch instructions may be explicitly inserted into an intermediate level, machine-independent code that is first generated by the process from the input source code (par 0020, page 3). Therefore, this statement teaches "completely independent of the target processor" recited in the instant claims 1 and 14. Accordingly, Pieper teaches the limitations in claims 1 and 14.

aic